

the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and Supplemental Motion were denied.

The Court is required to review this ruling pursuant to the standard set out in Local Rule 72.1(A)(2):

No ruling of a magistrate judge in any matter which he or she is empowered to hear and determine shall be reversed, vacated, or modified on appeal unless the district court shall determine that the magistrate judge's findings of fact are clearly erroneous, or that the magistrate judge's ruling is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) similarly provides that "[a] judge of the court may reconsider any pretrial matter under this subparagraph (A) where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law." *Id.*

After a review of the record and the Plaintiff's arguments, the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's Order at issue here contains no clearly erroneous findings of fact or rulings clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The Plaintiffs' objections to the Magistrate Judge's ruling on the Motion to Compel and Supplemental Motion will therefore be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiffs' objections to the Order denying Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and Supplemental Motion to Compel [114] are **OVERRULED**.

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED this the 28th day of November, 2005.

s/ Louis Guirola, Jr.
LOUIS GUIROLA, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE